

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ndris, Virgin a 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,039	6,039 01/29/2004		. Hiroyuki Hatta	1538.1045	2575
21171	7590	12/15/2006		EXAM	INER
STAAS & H.	ALSEY	LLP	LEE, WILSON .		
SUITE 700					
1201 NEW YO	ORK AVI	ENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC	20005	2163		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
		10/766,039	HATTA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		. Wilson Lee	2163				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS CON 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however cation. bry period will apply and will expire SI by statute, cause the application to the	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 9/26/06					
2a)□	•	⊠ This action is non-final	1.				
-	<u>-</u>						
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice	-	•				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the app	lication	7				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		tion				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	manarawa nom considerat					
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirem	ient.				
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the E	vaminar					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	•	cted to by the Evaminer				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			CFR 1.121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	-	• •			
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[🔀	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 L	1 S C & 110(a)_(d) or (f)				
		Toroign priority under 55 t	7.0.0. § 110(a)-(d) 01 (1).	•			
۵,2	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	al Stage			
	application from the International	•	•	J			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified cop	ies not received.				
	4.	ı					
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	л. П.	Annian Commission (DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-	948) Pa	nterview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application				
raper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) 📙 🔿	ther:				

Art Unit: 2163

Claim Objections

Claim 19 is objected and has not been treated on the merits because it fails to further limit the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 is vague because the search processing apparatus in Claim 19 is not found in any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. The phrase "being executable by a processor" must be inserted in the claim in order to enable the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2163

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Luke (7,130,867).

Regarding Claims 1, 16, Luke discloses a computer-implemented search processing method or a computer readable medium storing instructions comprising:

- searching a predetermined document group according to a first search condition specified by a user to extract data of a plurality of documents from a storage that stores said predetermined document group (See Col. 4, lines 22-39, Col. 6, lines 21-29, lines 53-63, Col. 7, lines 16-32, Col. 8, lines 6-26, Col. 14, lines 1-35);
 - transforming said data of said plurality of documents into information to indicate said data of said plurality of documents to said user in a first display form and to enable said user to select a display item to be utilized as a second search condition in a following processing, and outputting the transformed information (See Col. 3, lines 35-55, Col. 6, lines 20-29, line 64 to Col. 15, and Col. 14, lines 15-35);
 - extracting data of documents corresponding to said display item selected by said user (See Col. 1, lines 30-36, Col. 3, lines 35-54, Col. 14, lines 1-14); and

Art Unit: 2163

transforming said data of said documents corresponding to said selected display items item into information to indicate said data of said documents to said user in a second display form specified by said user and to enable said user to select a display item to be utilized as a third search condition in a following processing, and outputting the transformed information (See Col. 3, lines 35-55, Col. 6, lines 20-29, line 64 to Col. 15, and Col. 14, lines 15-35).

Regarding Claim 2, Luke discloses that the first and second display forms is at least either of

- a form showing indications of the extracted documents, and segments between the indications, each the segment representing a degree of relevancy between the extract documents, that is calculated by used words in the extracted documents (See Col. 3, lines 8-20, Col. 9, lines 10-39, Col. 12, lines 4-14),
- a form showing used words in the extracted documents and segments representing a degree of relevancy among the used words (See Col. 3, lines 8-20, Col. 9, lines 10-39, Col. 12, lines 4-14).

Regarding Claims 3-12, Luke briefly discloses dividing document into clusters (See Figures 3, 9-12), extracting data to be displayed (See Col. 1, lines 30-36, Col. 3, lines 35-54, Col. 14, lines 1-14); generating information for each cluster (See Figures 3, 9-12), categorizing the documents (into folders) corresponding to the selected display

Art Unit: 2163

item (See Figure 3), calculating a degree of relevancy between the document group and a used word (See Col. 3, lines 8-20, Col. 9, lines 10-39, Col. 12, lines 4-14).

Regarding Claim 13, Luke discloses that a document included in the predetermined document group is a patent document and the display item is either of bibliographic information of the patent document and a used word (See Figures 1-3, 7, 11).

Regarding Claim 14, Luke discloses that at least either of the first and second transformings comprises specifying a display program corresponding to a display form, and generating information for the display program (See abstract and See Col. 3, lines 35-55).

Regarding Claim 15, Luke discloses that at least either of the first and second display forms is an arbitrary combination of predefined display forms (See Col. 3, lines 35-54).

Regarding Claim 17, Luke discloses that the first and second display forms is at least either of

a form showing indications of the extracted documents, and segments between the indications, each the segment representing a degree of relevancy between the extract documents, that is calculated by used words in the extracted documents (See Col. 3, lines 8-20, Col. 9, lines 10-39, Col. 12, lines 4-14),

Art Unit: 2163

a form showing used words in the extracted documents and segments representing a degree of relevancy among the used words (See Col. 3, lines 8-20, Col. 9, lines 10-39, Col. 12, lines 4-14);

Page 6

a form showing first indications of document groups, second indications of used words, in the extracted documents and segments between the first indications and the second indications the document group being composed of the extracted documents associated by a specific matter, and each of the segments representing a degree of relevancy between the document group and the used word (See Col. 3, lines 8-20, Col. 9, lines 10-39, Col. 12, lines 4-14);

Regarding Claim 18, Luke discloses a search processing apparatus comprising:

- a search unit that searches a predetermined document group according to a first search condition specified by a user to extract data of a plurality of documents (See Col. 4, lines 22-39, Col. 6, lines 21-29, lines 53-63, Col. 7, lines 16-32, Col. 8, lines 6-26, Col. 14, lines 1-35);
 - a first transformer that transforms the data of the plurality of documents into information to indicate the data of the plurality of documents to the user in a first display form and to enable the user to select a display item to be utilized as a second search condition a following processing, and outputting corresponding to the display item selected by the user (See Col. 3, lines 35-55, Col. 6, lines 20-29, line 64 to Col. 15, and Col. 14, lines 15-35);

Art Unit: 2163

extractor that extracts data of documents corresponding to the display item selected by the user (See Col. 1, lines 30-36, Col. 3, lines 35-54, Col. 14, lines 1-14); and

a second transformer that transforms the data of the documents corresponding to the selected display item into information to indicate the data of the documents to the user in a second display form specified by the user and to enable the user to select a display item to be utilized as a third search condition in a following processing, and outputting the transformed information (See Col. 3, lines 35-55, Col. 6, lines 20-29, line 64 to Col. 15, and Col. 14, lines 15-35);

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lizuka et al. (7,133,860).

Regarding Claims 1, 16, Lizuka discloses a computer-implemented search processing method or a computer readable medium storing instructions comprising:

- searching a predetermined document group according to a first search condition specified by a user to extract data of a plurality of documents from a storage that stores said predetermined document group (See Col. 7, line 50 to Col. 8, line 4);
- transforming said data of said plurality of documents into information to indicate said data of said plurality of documents to said user in a first display form and to enable said user to select a display item to be utilized as a second search condition in a following processing, and outputting

the transformed information (see Col. 1, lines 8-14 and Figures 1,14, 19, 23-27, 33);

- extracting data of documents corresponding to said display item selected by said user (See Col. 7, line 50 to Col. 8, line 4); and
- transforming said data of said documents corresponding to said selected display items item into information to indicate said data of said documents to said user in a second display form specified by said user and to enable said user to select a display item to be utilized as a third search condition in a following processing, and outputting the transformed information (See Col. 1, lines 8-14 and Figures 1,14, 19, 23-27, 33).

Regarding Claim 2, Lizuka discloses that each the first and second display forms is at least either of

- a form showing indications of extracted documents that have been classified by used words in the extracted documents (See Figures 3, 5, 6, Col. 1, line 15 to Col. 2, line 3; Col. 5, lines 11-54; Col. 8, lines 10-32);
 a form showing a graph representing a result obtained by classifying and aggregating the extracted documents based on used words in the
 - extracted documents (See Figures 3, 5, 6, Col. 1, line 15 to Col. 2, line 3;

Regarding Claims 3-12, Lizuka briefly discloses dividing documents into clusters, (See Col. 2, lines 3-18, line 56 to Col. 4, line 11), extracting data to be displayed,

Col. 5, lines 11-54; Col. 8, lines 10-32).

Art Unit: 2163

generating information for the extracted data, (See Col. 1, lines 8-14, Col. 7, line 50 to Col. 8, line 4), classifying or categorizing the documents corresponding to the selected display item (See Figure 17), calculating a degree of relevancy between used words (See Col. 8, lines 45 to Col. 9, line 31).

Regarding Claim 13, Lizuka discloses that a document included in the predetermined group is a patent document, and the display item is either of bibliographic information of the patent document and a used word in the patent document (See Col. 1, line 7 to Col. 2, line 3).

Regarding Claim 14, Lizuka discloses that at least either of the first and second transformings comprises specifying a display program corresponding to a display form, and generating information for the display program (See Col. 1, lines 8-14, Col. 2, lines 4-18, Col. 5, lines 11-53, Claims 15, 16).

Regarding Claim 15, Lizuka discloses that at least either of the first and second display forms is an arbitrary combination of predefined display forms. (See Col. 1, lines 8-14, Col. 4, lines 30-67, Col. 5, lines 11-53 and Figures 1,14, 19, 23-27, 33).

Regarding Claim 17, Lizuka discloses that each the first and second display forms is at least either of

- a form showing indications of extracted documents that have been classified by used words in the extracted documents (See Figures 3, 5, 6, Col. 1, line 15 to Col. 2, line 3; Col. 5, lines 11-54; Col. 8, lines 10-32); a form showing a graph representing a result obtained by classifying and
- a form showing a graph representing a result obtained by classifying and
 aggregating the extracted documents based on used words in the

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/766,039

Art Unit: 2163

extracted documents (See Figures 3, 5, 6, Col. 1, line 15 to Col. 2, line 3; Col. 5, lines 11-54; Col. 8, lines 10-32).

Regarding Claim 18, Lizuka discloses a search processing apparatus comprising:

- a search unit that searches a predetermined document group according to a first search condition specified by a user to extract data of a plurality of documents (See Col. 7, line 50 to Col. 8, line 4);
- a first transformer that transforms the data of the plurality of documents into information to indicate the data of the plurality of documents to the user in a first display form and to enable the user to select a display item to be utilized as a second search condition a following processing, and outputting corresponding to the display item selected by the user (see Col. 1, lines 8-14, Col. 4, lines 30-67, Col. 5, lines 11-53 and Figures 1,14, 19, 23-27, 33);
- extractor that extracts data of documents corresponding to the display item selected by the user (See Col. 7, line 50 to Col. 8, line 4); and
- a second transformer that transforms the data of the documents corresponding to the selected display item into information to indicate the data of the documents to the user in a second display form specified by the user and to enable the user to select a display item to be utilized as a third search condition in a following processing, and outputting the

transformed information (See Col. 1, lines 8-14, Col. 4, lines 30-67, Col. 5, lines 11-53 and Figures 1,14, 19, 23-27, 33).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hubert et al. (7,133,862) discloses a system with user directed enrichment and import/export control. Oosta (7,130,848) discloses methods for document indexing and analysis. Cronin et al. (7,117,198) discloses a method of researching and analyzing information contained in a database. Tsuda (7,003,442) discloses a document file group organizing apparatus and method. Shimada et al. (6,826,724) discloses a document processor, document classification device.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Wilson Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1824.

Papers related to the application may be submitted by facsimile transmission.

Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked

"DRAFT". The official fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 2163

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wilson Lee

Primary Examiner
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

lan de

12/10/06